

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5964	
09/836,045	04/16/2001	William P. Apps	RPC 0544 PUS		
75	90 06/10/2002				
Stephanie M. Mansfield			EXAMINER		
	iter, 22nd Floor	CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J			
Southfield, MI 48075-1351			ART UNIT		
			3727		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)			
				09/836,045	•	APPS, WILLIAM	P		
	Offic	Offic Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit			
		,		Stephen J. Caste	llano	3727			
	The MAIL	LING DATE of this commu					Idress		
Period fo									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	Respons	sive to communication(s)	filed on	_•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This	action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
·			a application						
		1-35 is/are pending in the		n from consider:	ation				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	5)								
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
· · ·	-		he Examiner						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li></ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review esure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			
C Detect and T	rademark Office								



Application/Control Number: 09/836,045

Art Unit: 3727

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitation that states "when the tray is empty and is disposed in a cross stacked configuration with an upper like tray, the column recesses are adapted to receive a portion of the wall structure of the upper like tray" as stated in claim 28 in lines 18-20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 32 states that the upper like tray is supported on the upper surface of the tray designating a positive relationship as though the combination of two trays is being claimed.

Claim 28 from which claim 32 depends only sets forth a functional relationship between the tray (the subject of the claim) and an upper like tray (not a part of the subject of the claim).

Therefore, the scope of claim 32 is not clear since it can't be determined if the upper like tray is part of a combination or not.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/836,045

Art Unit: 3727

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Apps et al. ('572), Apps et al. ('461), Apps et al. ('176), Apps ('352), Hammett ('487), Apps et al. ('002) and Apps et al. ('874).

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Apps et al. ('793).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Apps et al. ('793), Apps et al. ('572), Apps et al. ('461), Apps et al. ('176), Apps ('352), Hammett ('487), Apps et al. ('002) or Apps et al. ('874) in view of McGrath ('844) and Cornelius et al. ('767).

The primary references disclose the invention except for the divider wall being a double walled construction. McGrath and Cornelius et al. teach dividers of double walled construction. It would have been obvious to modify the primary references to have dividers of double walled construction in order to strengthen the divider wall and to provide a greater amount of separation between bottles in the bottle trays so that the bottles will not touch one another or become damaged due to sudden impact loads on the transporting bottle tray.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/836,045

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

S. ChattllaStephen J. Castellano
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3727

sjc

June 5, 2002